10 March 1978

	MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
	SUBJECT: Meeting with D/NITC, and Charles Snodgrass, on the Staff of the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense - 10 March 1978	STAT
STAT	1. (U) I accompanied D/NITC, to a meeting with Charles Snodgrass, on the staff of the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense, on Friday, 10 March, during which outlined his proposal regarding the establishment of the NITC.	STAT
STAT STAT	2. (U) explained his qualifications and back- ground and his method of approaching the job he had been given. Mr. Snodgrass was concerned as to why had an office at CIA as well as at The thrust of Mr. Snodgrass' remarks were that other members of the Intelligence Community felt CIA was being given a greater advantage in discussing their matters with than was afforded to other agencies.	STAT
	3. (U) Mr. Snodgrass was also concerned with the subject of both the NITC and the Resource Management Staff and was particularly concerned that another reorganization was going on which was the fourth he had seen in the four years he had been with the Committee. He stated he felt the old IC had been given sufficient resources and authority to do the job had the appropriate people been appointed to positions in the IC Staff. He was less than enthusiastic about the new setup. He added, however, that he did not speak for the Committee. He was very concerned with the number of positions needed, a figure he felt was too large.	
STAT	4. (U) He did ask whether NITC and Resource Management Staff would come in for separate appropriations in the 1979 budget process or whether they would be included in the CIA budget request. said he thought it would be a separate appropriation.	STAT

Approved For Release 2004/10/12 : CIA-RDP81M00980R003200050049-9

	\cdot	
	5. (U) Mr. Snodgrass was also concerned about the national-tactical interface program. He felt that until an organization was set up which could have an input into both national and tactical intelligence programs, that full attention to the interface problem could not be achieved and he gave some examples as to why he felt this way.	
STAT	6. (U) He then asked why he had brought someone from OLC with him, and he went into his theory that the Office of Legislative Counsel should not represent separate entities such as NITC in its dealings with the Hill. His arguments were along the same vein given with respect to office at CIA Headquarters. In essence, it is that CIA gets a better shake out of such representation than did other members of the Intelligence Community. The whole argument can be traced to his views on the DCI wearing two hats.	ST
	Acting Deputy Legislative Counsel	ST
	Distribution: Orig - OLC Subject 1 - OLC Chrono	
STAT	1 😁	
STAT	OLC: (14 March 1978)	